1 2	MARTIN A. MUCKLEROY, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 009634 MUCKLEROY LUNT, LLC		
3	6077 S. Fort Apache, Ste 140F Las Vegas, NV 89148		
	Phone: (702) 907-0097		
5	Direct: (702) 534-6272 Fax: (702) 938-4065 martin@muckleroylunt.com		
6	Attorney for Plaintiff Theodurus Strous		
7	(Additional Counsel on Signature Page)		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
10			
11	THEODURUS STROUS,	Case No. 22-cv-00256-JCM-EJY	
12	DERIVATIVELY ON BEHALF OF SCIO DIAMOND TECHNOLOGY CORP.,		
13	Plaintiff,		
14	v.		
15	BERNARD MCPHEELY, KARL		
16	LEAVERTON, GERALD MCGUIRE, LEWIS SMOAK, ADAMAS ONE CORP.	STIPULATION TO PARTIALLY	
17	and JOHN G. GRDINA,	EXTEND BRIEFING SCHEDULE RE DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO	
18	Defendants,	DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S SECOND AMENDED DERIVATIVE ACTION	
19	and	AND CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT	
20	SCIO DIAMOND TECHNOLOGY	(Second Request)	
21	CORP.,		
22	Nominal Defendant.		
23			
24			
25	Plaintiff Theodurus Strous ("Plaintiff") and Defendants Bernard McPheely, Kar		
26	Leaverton, Gerald McGuire, Lewis Smoak (collectively, the "Scio Defendants"), Adamas		
27	One Corp., and John Grdina (collectively, along with Gerald McGuire, the "Adamas Defendants", and along with the Scio Defendants, the "Defendants")), by and through their		
28			

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

undersigned counsel, stipulate to extend the remaining briefing schedule on the Defendants' motions to dismiss the Plaintiff's Second Amended Derivative Action and Class Action Complaint (the "SAC"). This is the second request to extend the briefing schedule on the Defendants' Motions to Dismiss and seeks a partial extension. Following are the events to date:

On February 10, 2022, Plaintiff filed the Complaint against Defendants Bernard McPheely, Karl Leaverton, Gerald McGuire, and Lewis Smoak.

On September 30, 2022, Plaintiff Theodurus Strous filed the Amended Complaint against Defendants Bernard McPheely, Karl Leaverton, Gerald McGuire, and Lewis Smoak, and added two additional Defendants: Adamas One Corporation and John G. Grdina.

On November 15, 2022, the Court granted Defendants' Stipulation to Extend Defendants' time to Respond to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.

The briefing schedule per the Court's Order has been partially satisfied as follows:

On January 20, 2023, Plaintiff filed the SAC.

On February 17, 2023, the Scio Defendants and Adamas Defendants filed separate motions to dismiss the SAC with the Adamas Defendants also joining in the motion to dismiss of the Scio Defendants.

Presently pending is Plaintiff's opposition brief to Defendants' Motions to Dismiss that is due to be filed on April 6, 2023, and Defendants' reply briefs that are due on May 11, 2023.

The parties propose the following amended schedule:

- a. On May 15, 2023, Plaintiff shall file an opposition to Defendants' motions to dismiss and/or inform the Court of how it wishes to proceed based on the facts set forth below; and
- b. On June 21, 2023, Defendants shall file their reply briefs to Plaintiff's opposition to the motions to dismiss and/or file a response to Plaintiff's proposed course of how to proceed.

Good cause exists for the proposed extension, as set forth below:

Case No. 2:22-cv-00256-JCM-EJY

- 11 12
- 13
- 14
- 16
- 18
- 19 20
- 21 22
- 23
- 24 25
- 26 27
- 28

- Plaintiff's SAC alleges a derivative claim under Federal Rule of Civil 1. Procedure 23.1 against all Defendants and alleges demand is futile as to Scio's Board of Directors. In determining the demand futility issue, the pertinent inquiry is directed at the Board of Directors who were Scio board members at the time of the filing of the original action on February 22, 2022.
- 2. It has very recently come to the attention of the parties that the SCIO Defendants resigned as Scio Board members in March 2020 and that no new Board was appointed or in place on February 22, 2023, or thereafter, as Scio's Nevada corporate charter was revoked.
- 3. As a result, there is an issue of how to proceed (and whether Plaintiff has standing to proceed) under these circumstances where there appears to be no Board in place at a company whose charter has been revoked at the time the original action was filed. Thus, no demand could have been made, nor can the demand futility issue be properly addressed.
- 4. The parties seek an opportunity to determine the effect of the combination of a corporation whose charter was revoked and entire Board resigning prior to suit being brought and no new Board appointed because of the demand/demand futility issue and accordingly, how to proceed.
- 5. The Parties believe that this extension, if granted, will not unreasonably delay this case and that the extension will serve to preserve the Court's and the parties' resources and advance judicial economy under the circumstances present in this litigation.
 - 6. Neither Plaintiff nor Defendants will be prejudiced by the stipulation.
- Therefore, the parties propose the following amended briefing schedule for Defendants' Motions to Dismiss:
 - 1. Defendants moved to dismiss the Second Amended Complaint on Friday, February 17, 2023.
 - 2. On or before Monday, May 15, 2023, Plaintiff shall file an opposition to Defendants' motions to dismiss and/or inform the Court of how it wishes to proceed based on the facts set

Case No. 2:22-cv-00256-JCM-EJY

1	forth above concerning t	he requirements of Federal Rule of
2	Procedure 23.1; and	
3	3. On or before Wednesda	y, June 21, 2023, Defendants shall
4	file their reply briefs to P	laintiff's oppositions to the motions
5	to dismiss and/or file a	a response to Plaintiff's proposed
6	course of how to proceed	d based on the facts set forth above
7	concerning the require	ments of Federal Rule of Civil
8	Procedure 23.1.	
9	4. All rights are reserved v	vith regards to any further requests
10	for extensions of deadling	nes. This schedule can be modified
11	by further written agree	ment of the parties or order of the
12	court.	
13	5. This Court shall retain ju	risdiction with respect to all matters
14	arising from or related to	the implementation of this Order.
15	Dated this 5 th day of April, 2023.	
16	SPENCER FANE LLP	MUCKLEROY LUNT, LLC
17	Mary E. Bacon (NV Bar No. 12686) 300 S. Fourth Street, Suite 950	/s/ Martin A. Muckleroy
18	Las Vegas, NV 89101	Martin A. Muckleroy (NV Bar No. 9634) 6077 S. Fort Apache Rd., Ste. 140
19	and	Las Vegas, NV 89148 Telephone: 702-907-0097
20	BEST & FLANAGAN LLP	Facsimile: 702-938-4065
21	/s/ John A. Sullivan	and
22	Amy S. Conners John A. Sullivan	EVANGELISTA WORLEY, LLC
23	Brian Linnerooth 60 South Sixth Street, Suite 2700	James Evangelista Stuart J. Guber
24	Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 Telephone: (612) 339-7121	500 Sugar Mill Rd., Bldg. A, Ste. 245
25	Facsimile: (612) 339-5897	Atlanta, GA 30350 Telephone: 404-205-8400
26	Attornance for Defendants Powered	Facsimile: 404-205-8395
	Attorneys for Defendants Bernard	
27	McPheely, Karl Leaverton, Gerald McGuire and Lewis Smoak	Attorneys for Plaintiff

1	
2	CLARK HILL PLLC
3	/s/ Ryan J. Lorenz
4 5	Ryan J. Lorenz (NV Bar No. 8165) Nicholas M. Wieczorek (NV Bar No. 6170) 3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy. Ste. 500
6 7	Las Vegas, NV 89169 Telephone: (702) 862-8300 Facsimile: (702) 862-8400
8	Attorneys for Defendants Adamas One Corp., and John Grdina
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	5 Case No. 2:22-cy-00256-JCM-EJ
- 1	J Case No 7:77-cv-00/56-10/M-EU

1 **ORDER** 2 Per the parties' stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED. 3 4 1. On or before Monday, May 15, 2023, Plaintiff shall file an 5 opposition to Defendants' motions to dismiss and/or inform the 6 Court of how it wishes to proceed based on the facts set forth above 7 concerning the requirements of Federal Rule of Procedure 23.1; and 8 2. On or before **Wednesday**, **June 21**, **2023**, Defendants shall file 9 their reply briefs to Plaintiff's oppositions to the motions to dismiss 10 and/or file a response to Plaintiff's proposed course of how to 11 proceed based on the facts set forth above concerning the 12 requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.1. 13 3. All rights are reserved with regards to any further requests for 14 extensions of deadlines. This schedule can be modified by further 15 written agreement of the parties or order of the court. 16 4. This Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to all matters 17 arising from or related to the implementation of this Order. 18 19 20 allu C. Mahan 21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 22 23 Date: April 7, 2023 24 25 26 27 28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on April 5, 2023, a copy of the foregoing was served through the court's ECF/CM system to all counsel of record. Dated: April 5, 2023 /s/ Martin A. Muckleroy Martin A. Muckleroy